

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,963	07/23/2001	James B. Terry	1391-10210	7967	
23505	7590 05/06/2003				
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			EXAMINER		
			LEE, JONG SUK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amplicant(a)				
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	09/911,963	TERRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
TI MAILING DATE of this committee in	Jong-Suk (Jam s) Lee	3673				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspond nee address .				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	<u>March 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,33-35,38-62 and	64-74 is/are pending in the appli	cation				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		cauori.				
5) Claim(s) <u>33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71</u> is/are allow						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	- h h					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		. No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Serial Number: 09/911,963				
Art Unit: 3673				
	DETAILED ACTION			
1.	The amendment filed March 19, 2003 has been entered.			
	Specification			
2.	The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the			
claim	ed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the			
follov	ving is required: "a modulus which does not vary along the length of the composite tube" in			
claim	1, lines 4-5; claim 10, line 2; claim 25, lines 2-3; and claim 68, lines 2-3 does not have clear			
antec	edent basis for the terminology in the specification.			
	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103			
3.	The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness			
reject	ions set forth in this Office action:			

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

manner in which the invention was made.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Art Unit: 3673

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17-19, 21, 23-25, 48-52, 57, 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horstmeyer et al. (US 4,463,814) in view of Thomeer et al. '003 (US 5,828,003).

Horstmeyer et al. disclose a down-hole drilling apparatus comprising: a composite tube (14) which is a tube/string of tubular members having a portion (32) made of non-metal/plastic, data transmission conductor/control wires (24), instrumentation wires (26), power cables (28) and abrasion-proof coverings (34); a drill bit/ a member of displacing formation (36); a power section/electric motor (60); a bottom hole assembly (21) attached downhole to the string including a well apparatus and a propulsion system/thrusters, pistons and housings (39, 52; 104; 106, 130); The direction of drilling can be altered by the operation of thruster assemblies (39, 52) serving as a three dimensional steering apparatus (see Figs. 1-14; col.3, lines 51-68; col.4, lines 1-68; col.5, lines 1-15; col.7, lines 2-14; col.8, lines 7-56; col.11, lines 24-33).

However, Horstmeyer et al. fails to disclose or fairly suggest the fibers wrapped in a predetermined pattern around the liner of the composite tube. Thomeer et al.'003 discloses a composite coiled tubing comprising of a liner (76, 91, 99) with a flowbore and layers of fibers (77-79, 92-95, 101-109) wrapped in a predetermined braided pattern around the liner (76, 91,

Art Unit: 3673

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

99), a number of power conductors (105, 107) as depicted in Fig. 6e and/or a conductor or fiber may be intrinsically manufactured in the composite coiled tubing (see col.11, lines 12-34 and col.12, lines 43-58) and the layers of fibers may carry axial/tensile loads to the composite tubing; wherein a downhole assembly/tool (20) being connected to the composite tubing (see Figs. 1-29; col.6, lines 4-33; col.7, lines 12-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Horstmeyer et al.'s composite tube with the composite tubing as taught by Thomeer et al.'003 in order to enhance the axial/tensile resistance for the composite tubing.

With respect to the modulus does not vary along the length of the composite tube, the fiber weaving orientation may provide the different modulus so one of ordinary skill in the art would have woven the fiber of the Thomeer'003's composite tubing in order to provide constant modulus along the length of the tube by varying the weaving orientation if desired.

5. Claims 17, 19, 20, 55, 56, 68-70 and 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pringle et al.'951 (US 5,394,951) in view of Thomeer et al.'003. The teachings of Thomeer et al.'003 have been discussed above.

Pringle et al.'951 disclose a bottom hole drilling assembly connectable to coiled tubing comprising: a string (20) of composite pipe attached at one end to the bottom hole drilling

Art Unit: 3673

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

assembly and having a communication link extending through a wall of the pipe; a downhole motor (30); and a propulsion system attached to the downhole to the drill string further comprising of a drill bit (26), a drill stem attached to a drill bit at one end for drilling the bore hole and attached to an orientation assembly (48), a thruster/prime mover (40) coupled to the pipe string; an articulated joints/sub (32) articulable three dimensionally and having a first portion (32B) and a second portion (32A) in a manner to permit the second portion to be bent from a coaxial orientation from the first portion (32B), a steerable assembly (34, 36) in engagement with the second portion (32A) and the steerable assembly being in communication with the communication lint to bend the articulated joints as to the command of direction change and an orientation assembly sending signals through the data transmission conduit/communication link (20) to control (56, 58) and the steerable assembly, prime mover receiving signals from the control to move the drill bit within the borehole in response to the signals, the propulsion system being powered by the circulation fluids circulated through the flow bore and up an annulus formed by the composite tubes and inherently the composite tubes being engineered to withstand axial and yield stress placed on the string (see Fig. 1; col.2, lines 53-68; col. 3, lines 1-59; col.5, lines 10-43). However, Pringle et al. '951 fails to disclose or fairly suggest the fibers wrapped in a

predetermined pattern around the liner of the composite tube. Thomeer et al. '003 discloses a

composite coiled tubing comprising of a liner (76, 91, 99) with a flowbore and layers of fibers

Art Unit: 3673

(77-79, 92-95, 101-109) wrapped in a predetermined braided pattern around the liner (76, 91, 99), a number of power conductors (105, 107) as depicted in Fig. 6e and/or a conductor or fiber may be intrinsically manufactured in the composite coiled tubing (see col.11, lines 12-34 and col.12, lines 43-58) and the layers of fibers may carry axial/tensile loads to the composite tubing; wherein a downhole assembly/tool (20) being connected to the composite tubing as discussed above.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Pringle et al.'951's composite tube with the composite tubing as taught by Thomeer et al.'003 in order to enhance the axial/tensile resistance for the composite tubing.

With respect to the range of the modulus of elasticity, yield strain, yield stress of the composite tubing and the pulling force on the string by means of the propulsion system, an artisan within the ordinary skill in the art would have provided such a range as claimed in order to enhance the directional drilling capability and control.

With respect to the modulus does not vary along the length of the composite tube, the fiber weaving orientation may provide the different modulus so one of ordinary skill in the art would have woven the fiber of the Thomeer'003's composite tubing in order to provide constant modulus along the length of the tube by varying the weaving orientation if desired.

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

Art Unit: 3673

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

6. Claims 3, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horstmeyer et al. as modified by Thomeer et al.'003, as applied to claim 1, further in view of Williams et al. (US 5,913,337). The teachings of Horstmeyer et al. modified by Thomeer et al.'003 have been discussed above.

However, the teachings of Horstmeyer et al. modified by Thomeer et al.'003 fail to disclose the range of Young's modulus and density of the composite umbilical and a metallic conductor embedded in a wall of the composite umbilical. Williams et al.'337 disclose a spoolable composite tubular member with energy conductors comprising of a composite umbilical including non-metallic/fibers having a modulus of elasticity which is 100,000 psi or greater, and including the metallic conductor (21) embedded in the wall of the composite umbilical (see Fig.11; col.3, lines 4-10; col.4, lines 25-34; col.12, lines 46-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the composite tube of Horstmeyer et al., as modified by Thomeer et al.'003, by replacing with the composite umbilical tube having a metallic conductor and a desired modulus of elasticity as taught by Williams et al.'337 in order to enhance stiffness of the composite umbilical by providing a uni-directional longitudinal stiffening material in the opposite sidewalls of the composite umbilical and still provide a desired elasticity limit.

With respect to the density parameters for the composite umbilical, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided

Art Unit: 3673

The state of the s

Horstmeyer et al.'s tube modified by Thomeer et al.'003 with a certain density in order to provide a tube that is light and easy to handle in spooling the composite umbilical.

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

7. Claims 22, 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Horstmeyer et al. as modified by Thomeer et al. '003, as applied to claim 21, further in view of

Colin et al.'145. The teachings of Horstmeyer et al. modified by Thomeer et al.'003 have been

discussed above.

However, the teachings of Horstmeyer et al. modified by Thomeer et al.'003 fail to disclose a connector for connecting lengths of the pipe and a seal engaging upon the mating of the cooperative surfaces to provide hydraulic seal around the power conductor.

Colin et al.'145 disclose a connection device for a cable incorporating optical fibers and metal conductors including the connector assembly having seals (40, 43) between the connector body and outer shroud and retaining member complete the sealing of hte connection device (see Figs.1-3; col.2, lines 1-35).

Therefore, in view of Colin et al.'145, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the composite tube of Horstmeyer et al., as modified by Thomeer et al.'003 by adding the connector device with seals between the end of the composite umbilical in order to efficiently provide the required length of the umbilical composite at the site.

Art Unit: 3673

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

8. Claims 53 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horstmeyer et al. as modified by Thomeer et al.'003, as applied to claim 17 and 21 respectively, further in view of Wu (US 5,438,267). The teachings of Horstmeyer et al. modified by Thomeer et al.'003 have been discussed above.

However, the teachings of Horstmeyer et al. modified by Thomeer et al.'003 fails to disclose a resistivity antenna being connected to the electronic section of the bottom hole assembly. Wu discloses a bottom hole assembly including a processor/electronic section (51) having an resistivity antenna as receivers (22, 26) to measure the resistivity of the well (see Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 21-68; col.6, lines 1-20; col.8, lines 1-19).

Therefore, in view of Wu, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the bottom hole assembly of Horstmeyer et al., as modified by Thomeer et al.'003 by adding the receiver and processor to the system in order to enhance the control of the bottom hole assembly.

9. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pringle et al.'951 as modified by Thomeer et al.'003, as applied to claim 17, and further in view of Dismukes (US 4,646,856). The teachings of Pringle et al.'951 modified by Thomeer et al.'003 have been discussed above.

However, The teachings of Pringle et al.'951 modified by Thomeer et al.'003 fails to

Art Unit: 3673

disclose or fairly suggest the string of tubular members engineered to cause the string to achieve

- neutral buoyancy in the fluids of the well and the specific density of the umbilical composites.
- Dismukes discloses tubulars for directional drilling comprising of drill string/conduit, the conduit
- including the cylinder designed to provide flotation to the conduit to cause it to be neutrally
- buoyant in drilling fluid of the well (see Figs. 7-10; col.5, lines 30-56).

Therefore, in view of Dismuke, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the composite tube of the Pringle et al.'951, as modified by Thomeer et al.'003, by including the cylinder in order to provide substantial neutral buoyancy to the umbilical in the drilling fluids.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

7

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the combination of Horstmeyer et al. and Thomeer et al.'003 reference such that the Thomeer'003's composite coiled tubing is connected to a downhole tool which may be used to conduct flow of measurements or perhaps to provide diverting fluid and is not designed for Horstmeyer's drilling assembly and Thomeer'003 does not teach embedding an electrical conductor in the wall of the composite coiled tubing for providing electrical power downhole, is not persuasive because downhole or bottomhole assembly may be used as conducting flow or measurement or may include the propulsion/drilling assembly with utilizing fluid flow to empower the propulsion or drilling assembly within the ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3673

art. Further, the composite coiled tubing can be used as an umbilical which transfer the electrical power through the conductors for either electronic data processing or electrical power providing to any devices requiring the electricity, such as electrical downhole motor insofar as the tubing include the conductors.

With respect to the modulus not varying along the length of the composite tube which is different from the Thomeer'003 reference, it is not persuasive because the coiled tubing of Thomeer'003 may also not be varied along the length of the tubing depending upon the geographic layout in the desired formation insofar as the borehole is directed simple and straight without bending the tube. Further, the fiber weaving orientation may provide the different modulus so one of ordinary skill in the art would have woven the fiber of the Thomeer'003's composite tubing in order to provide constant modulus along the length of the tube by varying the weaving orientation if desired.

With respect to the applied tension loads to the umbilical are different for both references such that tension load on the fluid conveying tubing of Thomeer'003 by means of its own weight and the tension load on the drill string for Horstmeyer which is designed to withstand tension loads caused by the pulling the propulsion system, it is more limited than the claim scope.

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the combination of Pringle et al.'951 reference and Thomeer et al.'003 reference such that the Pringle et al.'951's metal coiled tubing drill string is

Art Unit: 3673

different from Thomeer'003's fluid conveying coiled tubing, is not persuasive because the metal coiled tubing of Pringle et al.'951 would have been replaced with the enhanced and sophisticated composite umbilical coiled tubing of Thomeer et al.'003 insofar as the both coiled tubing provides the fluid and electrical/electronic power to the downhole assembly. Prigngle et al.'951 was meant to be viewed in combination with Thomeer'003 and neither was meant to be viewed individually.

With respect that Thomeer'003's coiled tubing is not designed for use with a propulsion/thruster system which pulls the tubing into well, it can be considered to be an umbilical with reinforced with the fibers for either pushing or pulling so as to be used in either flow conveying and electrical power providing to the downhole assembly, or to bottomhole assembly with propulsion/thruster insofar as the Thomeer'003's coiled tubing may be used as "umbilical" to the down/bottomhole assembly.

12

13

14

10

11

3

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 33-35, 38-47, 64-67 and 71 would be allowable over the prior art of record.

15

16

17

18

19

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3673

11

14

15

17

19

20

21

J. Lee /iil

May 2, 2003

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO 2 MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after 3 the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6777. The 10 examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, 12 Heather C. Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for this 13 Group is (703) 305-3597. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. 16

Jong-Suk (James) Lee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673